

**THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. CHANDRAIAH**

WRIT PETITION No.14847 of 2007

Date 12-7-2007

Between:
Kontham Ramachendrappa.

.....PETITIONER.

AND

The Government of A.P. represented by its Principal
Secretary and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI
and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. CHANDHRAIAH

-
WRIT PETITION No. 14847 of 2007
-

-
ORDER: (Per the Hon'ble Smt. Justice T. Meena Kumari)

This Writ Petition is filed seeking to issue a *Mandamus* declaring the action of respondents 1 and 2 in repatriating Senior Inspectors of Co-operative Department, working in Panchayat Raj Department, as Extension Officers, to the 3rd respondent department, by virtue of G.O.Ms.No.20, dated 23.01.2006, as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner herein filed O.A. No. 838 of 2006 before the Tribunal challenging G.O.Ms.No.20, dated 23.01.2006, as illegal and arbitrary and to direct the respondents to continue him as Extension Officer. The Tribunal dismissed the said O.A. stating that the matter involved in the said O.A. is covered by the orders dated 4.5.2007 passed in O.A. 717 of 2006 with VMA 320 and 330 of 2006 and C.A. 144 of 2006 and O.A. 1105 of 2006. Aggrieved thereby, the petitioner filed this writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner herein had been absorbed vide proceedings dated 9.3.2000, but the 1st respondent without providing any opportunity to the petitioner herein, issued G.O.Ms.No.20, dated 23.01.2006, repatriating him to the Co-operative Department, ignoring the absorption of the petitioner and that the Tribunal erroneously dismissed the O.A. filed by the petitioner, though the matter is not covered by the orders, dated 4.5.2007 in O.A. 717 of 2006 and batch.

However, the material available on record discloses that the Government by order, dated 30.3.2000 stayed the absorption proceedings issued in favour of the petitioner and others. Challenging the same, several applicants filed O.A. 2147 of 2000 before the Tribunal and it is brought to the notice of this Court that though initially the Tribunal suspended the orders of the Government, dated 30.3.2000, however, while disposing of the said O.A., it directed the applicants therein to approach the Government for redressal of their grievances. The learned Government Pleader submits that in pursuance of the said order, the proceedings dated 23.01.2006 have been issued repatriating the petitioner to his parent department.

However, having regard to the facts and circumstances and in view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the representation dated 13.11.2006 filed by the petitioner is still pending with the Government, we deem it

proper that a direction can be issued to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 13.11.2006 in accordance with the Rules.

Accordingly, the respondents are directed to consider and dispose of the representation, dated 13.11.2006, filed by the petitioner, in accordance with the Rules, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. We make it clear that the petitioner shall be continued till the final orders are passed by the Government.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

T. MEENA KUMARI, J.

G. CHANDRAIAH, J.

Date: 12-07-2007.

pnb.